

UNITED STATES DEARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

•			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	84	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVEN	ITOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/501.559	02/09/00	CHOWDHARY	M	EC053074-2	
-			7	EXAMINER	
make a menular of the second		IM22/0814	THOK		
Stuart J Ford Vinson & Elkins LLP			ART UNI		
2300 First C 1001 Fannin	lty lower		1712		
Houston TX 7	77002		DATE MAILE	E D: 08/14/01	
				00/14/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	501559 CHOU Examiner P. TUCKER		WIHARY	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	n 17	Group Art Unit	
<u> </u>	I, loc	KEK	1712	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet b	eneath the co	rrespondence address	
Period for Reply	1			
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TOF THIS COMMUNICATION.	O EXPIRE	MONTH(S)	FROM THE MAILING DATE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a real If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state 	ply within the statutory minim expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror	um of thirty (30) on the mailing date	days will be considered timely.	
tatus				
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
☐ This action is FINAL .				
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 193			the merits is closed in	
Disposition of Claims				
X Claim(s) 1 - 40	is/are p	is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above claim(s)	is/are v	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
Claim(s)	is/are a	is/are allowed.		
☐ Claim(s)		is/are r	ejected.	
Claim(s)	<u> </u>	is/are c	bjected to.	
7. Claim(s)		are sub	ject to restriction or election ment.	
pplication Papers		·		
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin	•			
	:. ¬	🗀 disapproved	l.	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	* *			
The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	* *			
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.	* *			
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	* *			
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objective of the specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	ed to by the Examiner.	(4)		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.	ed to by the Examiner.			
The drawing(s) filed on is/are object to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of	ed to by the Examiner. der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- the priority documents ha	ave been		
The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Triority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of received.	der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-the priority documents ha	ave been		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number	der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- the priority documents haver)	ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).		
The drawing(s) filed on is/are object of the specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number received in this national stage application from the Interesting Company of the Certified copies not received:	der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- the priority documents haver)	ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).	·	
The drawing(s) filed on is/are object. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number received in this national stage application from the Interest.	der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-the priority documents haver)rnational Bureau (PCT F	ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).	·	
The drawing(s) filed on	der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- the priority documents haver) rmational Bureau (PCT F	ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)). Interview Summ	·	

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/501559

Art Unit: 1712

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-11, 27-32, 34-39, drawn to a process of making, classified in class 536, subclass 124.
 - II. Claims 12-25, drawn to a guar gum product, classified in class 536, subclass 114.
 - III.. Claim 26, 33 and 40 drawn to numerous products, classified in class 507 and numerous others, subclass numerous.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II or III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product may be made by other processes utilizing grinding at the final stage.
- Inventions II and III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/501559

Art Unit: 1712

product is deemed to be useful as a thickener in subterranean operations other than drilling or fracturing, and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group 536 is not required for Group 507, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. If group III is selected, the following applies. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: All the inventions listed in claims 26, 33 and 40.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-40 are generic.

Application/Control Number: 09/501559 Page 4

Art Unit: 1712

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

Application/Control Number: 09/501559 Page 5

Art Unit: 1712

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(1).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip Tucker whose telephone number is (703) 308-0529. The examiner's normal working hours are 7:30am-4:00pm, Monday-Friday. If necessary SPE Robert Dawson may be contacted at 703-308-2340. For inquiries of a general nature call the receptionist at 703-308-0651. The group FAX no. is 703-872-9310. The after final fax no. Is 703-872-9311.

PCT-2197 August 13, 2001

PHILIP C. TUCKER ART UNIT 1712